

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 111 359

IR 002 407

AUTHOR Stemple, Norman  
TITLE Laboratory Automation for Physical Scientists.  
PUB DATE Jun 75  
NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Conference on Computers in the Undergraduate Curricula (6th, Fort Worth, Texas, June 16-18, 1975); For related document see IR 002 399  
AVAILABLE FROM Entire Proceedings; Ted Sjoerdsma, Treasurer, CCUC, 1248 Lindquist Center for Measurement, Iowa City, Iowa 52242 (\$10.00, Checks payable to the University of Iowa)  
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 Plus Postage  
DESCRIPTORS \*Chemistry Instruction; \*College Curriculum; \*Computer Based Laboratories; Computer Programs; Computers; \*Course Descriptions; Data Analysis; Graduate Students; Higher Education; \*Laboratory Equipment; Physical Sciences; Programing Languages  
IDENTIFIERS BASIC; Digital Analog Logic Modules; Minicomputers; Texas Christian University

ABSTRACT

A university level course which uses minicomputers in laboratory data acquisition and experimental control is described. The majority of the students are chemistry seniors and graduate students; prerequisites are general physics and one semester of computer science or programing experience. The goals of the course, which is divided into 3 5-week parts, are to develop a systematic technique for data acquisition and control of an analytical instrument using a digital computer. The first part of the course deals with the electronics involved in interfacing; the second part concentrates on programing the computer to do an analysis of the data and the assembler language subroutines; the third part is devoted to individual student projects. The majority of the programing is done in BASIC which was chosen for its ease in learning, its immediate debugging capabilities and its comparability with previous teaching experience. A list of projects performed by students at Texas Christian University during the past two years is included, as is a course schedule. (Author/KKC)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished \*  
\* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort \*  
\* to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal \*  
\* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality \*  
\* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \*  
\* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not \*  
\* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \*  
\* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

ED111359

Laboratory Automation for Physical Scientists

Norman Stemple  
Texas Christian University  
Fort Worth, Texas 76129  
Phone: 817/926-2461 ext. 297

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-  
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM  
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-  
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS  
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT  
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

During the past few years several papers have been presented at these conferences describing the use of minicomputers in laboratory data acquisition and experimental control. Much of what has been developed here at TCU has been influenced by the results (both positive and negative) of these authors.

One of the initial decisions to be made was whether to include computerization in the existing analytical chemistry or physical chemistry courses or to provide a separate three hour elective course. The latter option was taken to prevent dilution of basic concepts from the existing chemistry courses. Although the primary source of students has been chemistry seniors and graduate students, students from all of the physical sciences have been invited to be in the course. A separation of students by major curriculum is in Table II.

Another choice was that of a programming language to be used. BASIC was chosen for 1) ease in learning, 2) immediate debugging and 3) compatibility with previous teaching experience. The design of the course is of course partly dictated by the computer and interfacing equipment available and the background of the students enrolled in the course.

At TCU we have about five research projects interfaced to a PDP-11/20 minicomputer. This computer is "time-shared", on a per hour time slice, between students and researchers. The I/O devices available include an ASR-33 teletype, high speed paper tape reader, magnetic tape, and three digital I/O interfaces (DR-11-A), one of which is reserved for student use. The interface between instrument and computer is constructed using Heath digital-analog logic modules (Malmstadt & Enke, designed). These modules allow off-line connections to be made and tested to some extent before

connecting to the computer via the DR-11. The similar TTL levels eliminate any level conversion problems and assures some degree of safety to computer during the connection.

The prerequisites of the course are general physics and one semester of computer science or programming experience. Usually the experience is in batch FORTRAN but a few students have had some assembler language experience. For these few I try to assign problems which involve more assembler programming than for the majority of the class. Although a year of physics is a prerequisite, most of the students need as much review of basic dc electricity as possible.

The goals of the course are to develop a systematic technique for data acquisition and control of an analytical instrument using a digital computer. At almost every lecture session an attempt is made to place the current topic in its proper perspective in the total plan so that the goal is kept in sight.

In general, the course is divided into 3 5-week parts. A schedule is outlined in Table I. The first part deals with the electronics involved in interfacing. In particular, the various devices such as operational amplifiers, D/A and A/D converters, comparators, logic gates, and clocks are discussed in some detail, with the laboratory sessions using Heath digital logic modules off-line from the computer. Classroom demonstrations are used as an introduction to the use of the logic modules with the laboratory sessions remaining open at the convenience of the student. A class of 11 students using only two logic modules requires a do-it-yourself approach to the laboratory sessions. For senior students this works reasonably well. Encoders, decoders, stepper motors, asynchronous motors, servo mechanisms and sensing devices are introduced during the last 5-week period since they

are not available for laboratory demonstration (at the present time) but are obviously important components in most control systems.

The second part concentrates on programming the computer to do an analysis of the data and the assembler language subroutines involved in handling interrupts, reading A/D converters and general digital I/O features. The majority of the programming is done in BASIC using the single user BASIC as supplied with the PDP-11 paper tape software. One modification was made to BASIC (as supplied from DEC) to allow the use of interrupts from an internal 60 cycle clock and from external sources thru the digital I/O interface (DR-11-A). Subroutine calls are made from BASIC using the EXF (n, A, B) function where the argument n is the pointer to the proper subroutine. Values of n may range from 0 to 19 as the system is presently configured. The remaining arguments, A and B, if needed, are evaluated in the assembler routine. The one restriction on the use of this EXF is that BASIC treats it as a function, i.e. only one value may be returned to BASIC from the assembler subroutine. For those problems requiring more than one value be returned, some programming tricks may be applied using the internal GETADR routine for an array and then putting values directly into the BASIC array. Several EXF functions have been written by the author to read or write records to magnetic tape and paper tape. These are available to all students for a more permanent storage of data for later analysis, in those cases where immediate analysis is not possible.

The last 5-week period is devoted to individual student projects, with class time reduced to 2 sessions per week. During the class periods, discussions are held involving problems with multiple variables, requiring

interaction logic and priority scheduling. These are not included as laboratory projects but are discussed so that students get some feeling for the relation between simple single variable problems and those of more complexity. As mentioned earlier, other electronic sensing devices, multiplexing, servo motors, etc. are discussed during this period. Also during this period each student is applying the knowledge and techniques developed during the first 10 weeks toward a special interfacing project involving one analytical instrument, usually of his choice.

Something needs to be mentioned with regard to the operation of the laboratory for this course. With one computer, one interface and two Heath logic modules available, it was necessary to make the laboratory session operate on an arranged basis rather than all together. A schedule sheet is available for advanced reservation of the equipment (by both researchers and students) and following each session the student must return the equipment to its normal state. This situation is somewhat difficult for both student and instructor in that little or no supervision is possible during most of the laboratory periods. Fortunately most students are seniors or graduate students with some past experience on individual projects and the situation has worked moderately well. The existing conditions require the student to dismantle his interface after each session to allow the next user to use the equipment. This forces the interface to be relatively simple but on the bright side requires students to document their wiring well at the close of each session so that at their next session the interfaces may be constructed rapidly to the point of the latest documentation. The value of documentation is thereby reenforced in each student's mind. This also demonstrates the value of the easy wiring aspect of the Heath modules.

A list of instruments and projects performed by students during past two years is given in Table III. The analytical instruments used in these projects are borrowed from some chemistry laboratory and returned at the end of the interfacing project. Little or no changes are made to the instruments themselves and often the student has previously used this particular instrument as an analytical tool so that the experimental set-up and data analysis are familiar to him and only the interface and programming need to be learned.

#### References

1. Wilkins, C.L. and Williams, R.C., Proceedings CCUC 1974, 49.
2. Brandenberger, J.R., Proceedings CCUC, 1973, 302.
3. Howland, H.A., Proceedings CCUC, 1972, 17.
4. Perone, S.P., Lytle, F.E. and Eagleston, J.F., Proceedings CCUC, 1971, 277.
5. Karl, J.H., *ibid*, 289.
6. Elder, S.A., *ibid*, 299.

Table I. Course Schedule

Week	Topic	
1	Electronics { Introduction to course; dc circuits; transistors; diodes;	
2		Logic gates; timing conditions; logic levels; counters; clocks
3		Operational amplifiers
4		Comparator circuits; D/A converters
5		A/D converters; TEST on electronics
6	Programming { BASIC programming; standard deviations	
7		linear least squares; non-linear least squares
8		PDP-11 Assembler language programming,
9		Emphasis on subroutine linkage to BASIC and data acquisition I/O routines, interrupt handling, A/D converter logic
10		Proposal of term project; data acquisition project from pH meter using op amp and A/D converter.
11-12	Individual Projects { Class periods reduced to twice per week In class discussions of stepper motors, shaft encoders, servo mechanisms, sensing devices, etc. which are not demonstrable in the laboratory	
13-14		Large problems involving multiple variables interactions and priority scheduling. During this time the student is working on his term project with an analytical instrument and doing the programming.
15	Oral reports in class on term projects.	
16	Written reports due. Review. Final Exam.	



Table II. Enrollment by major curriculum:

	Spring 1973	Spring 1974
chemistry	11	5
psychology	1	2
computer science	1	1
physics		2
biology		1

Table III. Typical Student projects

Titration using pH meter  
 Polarograph  
 Gas chromatograph  
 Joyce-Loebl Microdensitometer - Sedimentation Velocity  
 Joyce-Loebl Microdensitometer - Molecular Weight  
 Photo digitalization  
 Physiological nerve impulse recording  
 Reaction calorimetry  
 Computer generated music  
 Photometer  
 Display of single valued function (vs. time) on standard oscilloscope.  
 Monitoring temperature & salinity of aquarium.